	1 2 3 4	Terrence R. O'Connor (State Bar No. 88004) NOLAND, HAMERLY, ETIENNE & HOSS A Professional Corporation 333 Salinas Street Post Office Box 2510 Salinas, California 93902-2510 Telephone: (831) 424-1414 Facsimile: (831) 424-1975			
	5 6	Attorneys for Defendant JACK PARSON			
	7				
	8	9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
	9				
	10				
ATTORNEY AT LAW SALINAS, CALFORNIA	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ASA FARMS, INC., a California corporation and BRAGA RANCH, Plaintiffs, vs. FRESH 'N HEALTHY, INC., a Delaware corporation, MARK WILLIAMS, an individual; JACK PARSON, an individual; CHAD HAGEN, an individual; SANTOS MARTINEZ, an individual; DON BEAM, an individual; DARRYL NICHOLSON, an individual; RICHARD MAY, an individual; RICHARD MAY, an individual; THOMAS COLOGNA, an individual; PRESTWICK PARTNERS, LLC, a California limited liability company; SOLSTICE VENTURE PARTNERS, LLC, a business entity form unknown; n individual; VFINANCE INVESTMENTS, a business entity form unknown; FMP VINEYARD, LLC, a New Mexico limited liability company; BUTTONWOOD OPTINO, LLC, a business entity form unknown; DW, LLC, a business entity form unknown; M SOLAZZO TRUST 2002; P. SOLAZZO	Case No. C08-00122 JF ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE PERISHABLE AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES ACT ("PACA") [7 U.S.C. § 449e, et seq.] Judge: Honorable Jeremy Fogel Complaint Filed: January 8, 2008 TRIAL DATE: NONE ASSIGNED.		
	252627	1998; GIBBONS FAMILY TRUST; BIXLER TRUST; STEIGERWALD TRUST and CARTER TRUST, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, Defendants.			
	28	19662\000\392443.1:31008 ANSWER TO ("PACA") COMPLAINT BY JA ASA Farms, Inc./Braga Ranch v. Fresh 'N Hea	1 ACK PARSON lthy, Inc., et al./Case No. No. C08-00122 JF		

NOLAND, HAMBRLY, ETIENNE & HOSS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant JACK PARSON ("Defendant"), severing himself from all other answering Defendants, answers the Complaint on file as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- In response to Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that this court has 1. jurisdiction over PACA claims.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 2. in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 3. in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint. 4.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint. 5.
- Defendant admits that he is a shareholder of Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy. 6. Defendant denies generally and specifically the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 6.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint. 7.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint. 8.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint. 9.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint. 10.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint. 11.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of the Complaint. 12.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint. 13.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint. 14.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint. 15.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint. 16.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint. 17.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint. 18.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint. 19.

OLAND, HAMERLY, ETIENNE & HOSS	ATTORNEYS AT LAW	SALINAS, CALIFORNIA	
OLAND			

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

20.	Defendant admits the allegations	contained in Paragraph 20 of	the Complaint

- Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of the Complaint. 21.
- Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 22 of the Complaint. 22.
- Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 23of the Complaint. 23.
- Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 of the Complaint. 24.
- Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 of the Complaint. 25.
- Paragraph 26 of the Complaint does not call for a response by this Defendant. 26.
- Defendant denies that he was an "insider" as described in Paragraph 27 of the 27. Complaint. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the remaining subject matters set forth in Paragraph 27 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 27 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 28. in Paragraph 28 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 28 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 29. in Paragraph 29 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 29 of the Complaint.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract Against Defendant Fresh)

- In response to Paragraph 30 of the Complaint, Defendant reincorporates his 30. admissions, allegations and denials to the paragraphs incorporated in Paragraph 1 through 29 of the Complaint, as though those admissions, allegations and denials were set forth in full at this point.
 - Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 31 of the Complaint. 31.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 32. in Paragraph 32 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 32 of the Complaint. In further response to

///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Paragraph 32, Defendant a	illeges that as of Novemb	er 9, 2007, this answ	ering Defendant wa	as no
longer employed by Defen	ndant Fresh 'N Healthy.			

- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 33. in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 33, Defendant alleges that as of November 9, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 34. in Paragraph 34 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 34 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 34, Defendant alleges that as of November 9, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 35. in Paragraph 35 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 35 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 35, Defendant alleges that as of November 9, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 36. in Paragraph 36 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 36 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 36, Defendant alleges that as of November 9, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 37. in Paragraph 37 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 37 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 37, Defendant alleges that as of November 9, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 38. in Paragraph 38 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 38 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 38, Defendant alleges that as of November 9, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 39. in Paragraph 39 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 39 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 39, Defendant alleges that as of November 9, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 40. in Paragraph 40 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 40 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 40, Defendant alleges that as of November 9, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 41. in Paragraph 41 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 41 of the Complaint. In further response to Paragraph 41, Defendant alleges that as of November 9, 2007, this answering Defendant was no longer employed by Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Enforcement of Statutory Trust Provisions of PACA Against All Defendants)

In response to Paragraph 42 of the Complaint, Defendant reincorporates his 42. admissions, allegations and denials to the paragraphs incorporated in Paragraph 1 through 41 of the Complaint, as though those admissions, allegations and denials were set forth in full at this point.

27

111

///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 43. in Paragraph 43 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 43 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 44. in Paragraph 44 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 44 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 45. in Paragraph 45 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 45 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 46. in Paragraph 46 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 46 of the Complaint.
- In response to Paragraph 47 of the Complaint, Defendant denies generally and 47. specifically that he is a statutory trustee. Furthermore, Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the other subject matters set forth in Paragraph 47 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every other allegation contained in Paragraph 47 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 48. in Paragraph 48 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 48 of the Complaint.
- Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in 49. Paragraph 49 of the Complaint.
- Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in 50. Paragraph 50 of the Complaint.

///

/// 26

111 27

2

3

10

7

NOLAND, HAMBRLY, ÉTIENNE & HOSS Attorniys at Law Salinas, California

26

Promptly Against All Defendants)

- 51. In response to Paragraph 51 of the Complaint, Defendant reincorporates his admissions, allegations and denials to the paragraphs incorporated in Paragraph 1 through 50 of the Complaint, as though those admissions, allegations and denials were set forth in full at this point.
- 52. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth in Paragraph 52 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 52 of the Complaint
- 53. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth in Paragraph 53 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 53 of the Complaint.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Injunctive and/or Temporary Restraining Order Against All Defendants)

- 54. In response to Paragraph 54 of the Complaint, Defendant reincorporates his admissions, allegations and denials to the paragraphs incorporated in Paragraph 1 through 53 of the Complaint, as though those admissions, allegations and denials were set forth in full at this point.
- 55. In response to Paragraph 55 of the Complaint, Defendant alleges that the provisions of PACA, specifically 7 U.S.C. Section 449a, et seq., and 499e(c)(1) through (4), inclusive, speak for themselves.
- 56. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth in Paragraph 56 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 56 of the Complaint.
- 57. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth in Paragraph 57 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 57 of the Complaint.

19662\000\392443.1:31008

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

	58.	Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth
in	Paragraph :	58 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each
an	d every alle	egation contained in Paragraph 58 of the Complaint.

- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 59. in Paragraph 59 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 59 of the Complaint.
- Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief as to the subject matters set forth 60. in Paragraph 60 of the Complaint and, on those grounds, denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 60 of the Complaint.
- The response to Paragraph 61 does not require an admission or denial. Defendant 61. asserts that an ex parte order for an accounting and injunctive relief to compel turnover of all amounts subject to the PACA trust is unnecessary.
- Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in 62. Paragraph 62 of the Complaint.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Unjust Enrichment Against All Defendants)

- In response to Paragraph 63 of the Complaint, Defendant reincorporates his 63. admissions, allegations and denials to the paragraphs incorporated in Paragraph 1 through 62 of the Complaint, as though those admissions, allegations and denials were set forth in full at this point.
- Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in 64. Paragraph 64 of the Complaint.
- Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in 65. Paragraph 65 of the Complaint.
- Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in 66. Paragraph 66 of the Complaint.

27

28 111

7

NOLAND, HAMBRLY, ÉTIENNE & HOSS Attorneys at Law Salinas, California

111

111

111

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Conversion Against All Defendants)

- In response to Paragraph 67 of the Complaint, Defendant reincorporates his 67. admissions, allegations and denials to the paragraphs incorporated in Paragraph 1 through 66 of the Complaint, as though those admissions, allegations and denials were set forth in full at this point.
- Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in 68. Paragraph 68 of the Complaint.
- Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in 69. Paragraph 69 of the Complaint.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Declaratory Relief Against All Defendants)

- In response to Paragraph 70 of the Complaint, Defendant reincorporates his 70. admissions, allegations and denials to the paragraphs incorporated in Paragraph 1 through 69 of the Complaint, as though those admissions, allegations and denials were set forth in full at this point.
- In response to Paragraph 71 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that "[a]n actual 71. controversy has arisen and now exists relating to the rights and duties of the parties herein. . .". Defendant denies generally and specifically the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint.
- Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in 72. Paragraph 72 of the Complaint.
- The response to Paragraph 73 does not require an admission or denial. Defendant 73. asserts that an ex parte order for an accounting and injunctive relief to compel turnover of all amounts subject to the PACA trust is unnecessary.

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

AS AND FOR A FIRST, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the complaint, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's complaint, and each cause of action contained therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against this answering Defendant.

AS AND FOR A SECOND, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the complaint, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's injuries and damages, if any there were or are, were proximately caused or contributed to by the carelessness, negligence or fault of persons or entities other than this answering Defendant.

AS AND FOR A THIRD, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the complaint, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff has waived any breach of contract or warranty alleged in its complaint.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the complaint, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action for unjust enrichment against this answering Defendant.

AS AND FOR AN FIFTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to the complaint, this answering Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action for conversion damages against this answering Defendant.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE to each and every cause of action set forth in the complaint and the whole thereof, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff is not entitled to the relief requested in the complaint because such relief would work a substantial hardship on the defendant relative to the benefit plaintiff would gain by the relief.

/// 111

111

111

19662\000\392443.1:31008

NOLAND, HAMERLY. ETIENNE & HOSS Attorness at Law Salinas, Caliporna

19662\000\392443.1:31008

27

28

	1	ASA Farms, Inc., et al. v. Fresh 'N Healthy, et al. Case No. C08-00122 JF	
	2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
	3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)	
	4	OUNTY OF MONTEREY)	
	5		
	6	I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of Monterey County. I am over the age	
	7	of 18 years and not a party to the within entitled action; my business address is: 333 Salinas Street, Post Office Box 2510, Salinas, CA 93902-2510.	
	8	On the date below, I served the attached document(s) entitled: ANSWER TO	
	9	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE PERISHABLE AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES ACT ("PACA"), on the following named person(s) in said action at:	
	10	COMMODITIES ACT ("PACA"), on the following named person(s) in said action at:	
	11	Kelly McCarthy Sutherland, Esq. Robert Anthony Franklin, Esq.	
3	12	Lombardo & Gilles Murray & Murray 318 Cayuga Street 19400 Stevens Creek Blvd., Ste. 200	
3	13	Salinas, CA 93901 Cupertino, CA 95014-2548 kelly@lomgil.com rfranklin@murraylaw.com	
LAW	14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs ASA Farms and Braga Attorneys for Defendant Fresh 'N Healthy Ranch	
ATTORNEYS AT LAW SALINAS, CALIFORNIA	15	Effie F. Anastassiou	
SALIN	16	Anastassious & Associates 242 Capitol Street P. O. Box 2210 Salinas, CA 93902	
	17		
	18	efficesq@salinasaglaw.com Attorneys for Oceano Packing Company, LLC	
	19		
	20	by personal service on the above-named person(s) at the above stated address(es).	
	21	by placing said copy(ies) in a sealed envelope(s), postage thereon fully prepaid, and placed for collection and processing for mailing following the business's	
	22	ordinary practice with which I am readily familiar. On the same day correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary	
	23	course of business with the United States Postal Service at Salinas, California, addressed as stated above.	
	24	by overnight delivery on the above named party(ies) in said action, by placing a	
	25	true and correct copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in a designated area for outgoing, same-day pickup by at the offices of Noland,	
	26	Hamerly, Etienne & Hoss for overnight delivery, billed to Noland, Hamerly, Etienne & Hoss, and addressed as set forth above.	
	27		
	28		

19226\001\392546.1:31008

Document 25

Filed 03/10/2008

Page 13 of 13

Case 5:08-cv-00122-JF

19226\001\392546.1:31008